

Minutes of the
CANNON BEACH DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
January 21, 2010
Council Chambers

Present: Chair Hank Johnson, Board Members Tim Krupa, Jenee Pearce, Jay Raskin, and Marilyn Rooper
Excused: Tom Ayres and William Brehm
Staff: Planner Rainmar Bartl, and Administrative Assistant Stephanie McGuire

CALL TO ORDER AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA.

Chair Johnson called the meeting to order at 5:58 p.m.

Motion: Raskin moved to approve the agenda; Rooper seconded the motion.

Vote: Krupa, Johnson, Raskin, and Rooper voted AYE; the vote was 4/0 in favor and the motion passed.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS

Chair Johnson asked for nominations for Chair.

Motion: Raskin nominated Johnson for Chair. Krupa seconded the nomination. There were no further nominations.

Vote: Krupa, Johnson, Raskin, and Rooper voted AYE; the vote was 4/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Chair Johnson asked for nominations for Vice Chair.

Raskin nominated Rooper for Vice Chair. Krupa seconded the nomination. There were no further nominations.

Vote: Krupa, Johnson, Raskin, and Rooper voted AYE; the vote was 4/0 in favor and the motion passed.

(2) Consideration of the Minutes of the Design Review Board Regular Meeting of December 10, 2009.

Rooper noted a punctuation change on page two.

Motion: Raskin moved to approve the minutes of December 10, 2009, as amended. Rooper seconded the motion.

Vote: Johnson, Raskin, and Rooper voted AYE, Krupa abstained, and the vote was 3/0 in favor and the motion passed.

(3) Public Hearing and Consideration of DRB 10-03, Breakers Point Homeowners Association Application for Exterior Modifications to Seventeen Buildings and the Clubhouse at Breakers Point Condominiums, 253 N. Breakers Point Drive.

No one objected to the jurisdiction of the Design Review Board to hear this matter at this time. No Board member declared a conflict of interest or personal bias. As ex parte contacts, Board members indicated they had visited the

site.

Bartl summarized the staff report. With regard to the applicable criteria, he stated that site development and landscape criteria are not applicable. Architectural criteria A, B, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L and O are not applicable. Krupa asked if there were any changes to the application from the August presentation other than the buildings involved. Bartl stated that one color has been chosen for the doors, not the three options previously presented.

Pearce arrived at 6:05 p.m.

Chair Johnson opened the public hearing and stated that the pertinent criteria were posted; testimony and evidence must address those criteria or other applicable criteria; failure to raise an issue accompanied by statements or evidence sufficient to permit the decision makers to respond to the issue would preclude appeal based upon that issue; prior to the conclusion of the initial evidentiary hearing, any party may request that the hearing record remain open for at least seven days for the submission of additional testimony or evidence.

Johnson asked if any additional correspondence had been received. There was none.

Johnson asked for testimony from the applicant.

Bruce Francis, PO Box 246, Cannon Beach, OR 97110, Property Manager of Breaker's Point, introduced Dean McGregor, Maintenance Committee Chairman of the Breakers Point Condominium Association. Francis stated that the project was originally planned with a five-year timeline; however, the homeowner's preferred a more condensed timeline. It was noted that the older buildings, south of Fifth Street, would have the lap siding replaced. Electrical upgrades would be done throughout the project. The siding on the buildings north of Fifth Street is newer, 3/4 inch lap, and is only proposed to be repainted. Francis displayed color samples for the window trim that closely matches the tan Milgard windows proposed. The doors will be painted a deep orange color, with a dark brown colored screen door. In response to a question by Rooper, Francis clarified that the siding of the buildings on the north side of Fifth Street would only be painted, unless portions needed to be replaced due to rot repairs.

Johnson asked for testimony from proponents. There was none.

Johnson asked for testimony from opponents. There was none.

Johnson asked for additional staff response. There was none.

There were no additional statements.

Chair Johnson closed the public hearing.

Consideration of DRB 10-03

Motion: Pearce moved to approve the architectural plan; Raskin seconded the motion.

Vote: Krupa, Johnson, Pearce, Raskin, and Rooper voted AYE; the vote was 5/0 in favor and the motion passed.

(4) Public Hearing and Consideration of DRB 10-01, City of Cannon Beach, Renovation of a Wastewater Pump Station, the Elkland Pump Station, 340 Elk Creek Road.

No one objected to the jurisdiction of the Design Review Board to hear this matter at this time. No other Board member declared a conflict of interest or personal bias. As ex parte contacts, Board members indicated they had visited the site.

Bartl summarized the staff report. With regard to the applicable criteria, he stated that site development criteria B, *Minutes, Cannon Beach Design Review Board, January 21, 2010*

D, E, H, L, and M are not applicable; architectural criteria E, F, K, and L are not applicable; landscape criteria D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, and L are not applicable.

Chair Johnson opened the public hearing and stated that the pertinent criteria were posted; testimony and evidence must address those criteria or other applicable criteria; failure to raise an issue accompanied by statements or evidence sufficient to permit the decision makers to respond to the issue would preclude appeal based upon that issue; prior to the conclusion of the initial evidentiary hearing, any party may request that the hearing record remain open for at least seven days for the submission of additional testimony or evidence.

Johnson asked if any additional correspondence had been received. There was none.

Johnson asked for testimony from the applicant.

Mark See, Director of Public Works, City of Cannon Beach, PO Box 368, Cannon Beach, OR 97110, reviewed the proposed plan. He stated that the pump station is in an area of the RV Resort that is not rented frequently. Raskin asked if any spots would be lost. See stated that one space would be lost. This space is typically the last space that is rented due to its proximity to the pump station. Johnson asked if additional service lines would be required. See stated that all required components for the pump station are in place. He stated that submersible pumps will replace the existing suction pumps. The new building will house the auxiliary power generator. Rooper asked which areas of town are served by the pump station. See explained that the area surrounding Elkland Drive and Elk Creek Road are served by the pump station.

Johnson asked for testimony from proponents. There was none.

Johnson asked for testimony from opponents. There was none.

Johnson asked for additional staff response. There was none.

There were no additional statements.

Chair Johnson closed the public hearing.

Consideration of DRB 10-01

Motion: Pearce moved to approve the site plan. Raskin seconded the motion.

Vote: Krupa, Johnson, Pearce, Raskin, and Rooper voted AYE; the vote was 5/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Motion: Raskin moved to approve the architectural plan. Rooper seconded the motion.

Vote: Krupa, Johnson, Pearce, Raskin, and Rooper voted AYE; the vote was 5/0 in favor and the motion passed.

Motion: Raskin moved to approve the landscape plan. Pearce seconded the motion.

Johnson asked why the landscape criteria were included. Bartl explained that it was included due to the gravel path that is provides access.

Vote: Krupa, Johnson, Pearce, Raskin, and Rooper voted AYE; the vote was 5/0 in favor and the motion passed.

(5) Authorization for the Chair to Sign the Appropriate Orders

Motion: Raskin moved to authorize the Chair to sign the appropriate orders; Rooper seconded the motion.

Vote: Krupa, Johnson, Pearce, Raskin, and Rooper voted AYE; the vote was 5/0 in favor and the motion passed.

(6) Staff Reports

Bartl asked if the Board wanted to change the start time of the meetings. The consensus of the Design Review Board was to leave the start time at 6:00 p.m.

(7) Good of the Order

Rooper asked if the changes at the Post Office had been reviewed as a minor modification. Bartl said they were. Bartl reviewed the history of his dealings with representatives of the Postal Service.

Rooper asked about the sculpture that has been placed near the lagoons. Bartl stated that the sculpture placement had been reviewed by the Sculpture Without Walls Committee. Johnson noted that it is only a temporary placement and it will eventually be installed at the site of the rebuilt downtown restrooms.

Krupa asked about the tree that was removed at the Cannon Beach Mall. Bartl explained that the tree blew down during a storm.

ADJOURNMENT

Chair Johnson adjourned the meeting at 6:30 p.m.

Stephanie McGuire, Administrative Assistant