City of Cannon Beach



#### NOTICE OF APPLICATION TO REMOVE TREES IN THE STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY OR PROPERTY OWNED BY THE CITY

The City of Cannon Beach has made an application for the removal of three (3) Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) on property owned by the City. The affected trees are located within the City Right-of-Way (ROW) inside of the RV Park, located at taxlot number 51029BD00102.

The request for tree removal is made based on Municipal Code Section 17.70.020 A, "Removal of a tree which poses a safety hazard." Municipal Code Section 17.70.030 I, Additional Requirements, states for tree removal requests of trees located in a street right-of-way, or on property owned by the city, property owners within one hundred feet of the tree(s) requested for removal shall be notified of the proposed action. The notification shall also be posted on the city's website, and on the bulletin board at City Hall, and at the Post Office. In making its decision on such a tree removal request, the city shall consider comments received within ten days of the date of the mailing of the property owner notification. To be considered, comments must address the tree removal criteria of Section 17.70.020.

Correspondence regarding this matter should be mailed to: City of Cannon Beach, Attention: Tessa Schutt, PO Box 368, Cannon Beach, OR 97110. Further information regarding this application is available at City Hall, 163 E. Gower Street, Cannon Beach, or by calling, (503) 436-8048.

#### DATE NOTICE MAILED: 01/03/23

cc: Mayor Barb Knop Councilor Nancy McCarthy Councilor Brandon Ogilvie Councilor Gary Hayes Councilor Lisa Kerr



## City of Cannon Beach Right-of-Way/Property Owned by the City Tree Removal Application

City of Cannon Beach						
schutt@ci.cannon-beach.or.us						
ogan, Ronald						
PO Box 153, Cannon Beach, OR 97110						
Map/Tax Lot Number: <u>51029BD00102</u>						
ollowing criteria is met. Please circle the						

These criteria require a Tree Removal Report from an International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) Certified Arborist:

 A. You are constructing a structure or development approved and allowed by pursuant to Cannon Beach Municipal Code 17.70.030, which involves any form of ground disturbance; including required vehicular and utility access. SEE ATTACHMENT A – Removing Trees Because of Construction.
 B. Removal of a tree for the health and vigor of surrounding trees.

These criteria require an ISA Tree Hazard Evaluation Form prepared by an ISA Certified Arborist:

- The tree presents a safety hazard, where: 1. The condition or location of the tree
  - The condition or location of the tree presents either a foreseeable danger to public safety, or a foreseeable danger of property damage to an existing structure; and,
  - 2. Such hazard or danger cannot reasonably be alleviated by pruning or treatment of the tree.
- D. The tree was damaged by storm, fire or other injury, which cannot be saved by pruning.

You must submit a tree removal permit with a reason if:

E. The tree is dead.

C.

- F. Tree removal is necessary to provide solar access to a solar energy system where pruning will not provide adequate solar access:
  - 1. The city may require documentation that a device qualifies for Oregon Department of Energy Solar Tax Credit, or other incentive for installation of solar devices offered by a utility.
  - 2. No tree measuring more than 24 inches in diameter shall be removed for solar access.
- G. Tree removal is for landscaping purposes, subject to the following conditions:
  - 1. The tree cannot exceed 10 inches in diameter.
  - 2. A landscape plan for the affected area must be submitted and approved by the City.
  - 3. The landscape plan must incorporate replacement trees for the trees removed. The replacement trees must be at least six feet in height or have a two-inch caliper; and,
  - 4. The City shall inspect the property one year after the approval of the permit to ensure the landscape plan has been implemented.

If your tree presents an immediate danger of collapse and if such potential collapse represents a clear and present hazard to persons or property, please contact the Community Development Director (CDD). If it is determined by the CDD that there is an immediate danger, then a tree removal permit is not required prior to tree removal. However, within seven days after the tree removal, the tree owner shall make application for an after-the-fact permit. Where a tree presents an immediate danger of collapse, a complete ISA Tree Hazard Evaluation Form prepared by a certified arborist is not required. Where a safety hazard exists, as defined by this subsection, the city may require the tree's removal. If the tree has not been removed after forty-eight hours, the city may remove the tree and charge the costs to the owner.

Attach a site plan showing the location and type of all trees on the property, including the trees to be removed. Indicate the location of replacement trees and the type. SEE ATTACHMENT B – Site Plan. Attach photos of the trees to be removed and mark the trees with ribbon.

Explain how the request meets one or more of the applicable criteria. Include the number and type of trees requested for removal. If appropriate, explain why pruning would not accomplish the same goal as tree removal.

Application fee: \$50.00 for 1-4 trees; \$100 for 5 or more trees

**Note:** The application fee is a **nonrefundable** fee that is due upon receipt of application, whether the removal request is approved or denied.

Municipal Code Section 17.70.030.I. For tree removal requests of trees located in a street rightof-way, or on property owned by the city, property owners within one hundred feet of the tree(s) requested for removal shall be notified of the proposed action. The notification shall also be posted on the city's website, and on the bulletin board at City Hall, and at the Post Office. In making its decision on such a tree removal request, the city shall consider comments received within ten days of the date of the mailing of the property owner notification. To be considered, comments must address the tree removal criteria of Section 17.70.020. Any person who has commented on the tree removal request shall be notified of the city's decision and may appeal that decision in accordance with subsection H.

\_Date: 01/03/2023 Applicant Signature Trevor Mount

I understand, as the applicant signature, the cost of the tree removal and cleanup is my full responsibility and I am responsible if an approved tree removal permit is violated in any way. I must comply with all City requirements included with the approval, and I must notify the City 48hours prior to the removal.


Date:	Fee Pai	id: \$	Receipt Number: _	Permit #:	***********************
Date Notice Ma	iled: <u>01/0</u> ;	3/2023	Date of 10-day w	vaiting period: <u>01/13</u> ,	2023
Comments Rec	eived: Ye	es 🗆 🛛 No 🗆	] Applica	ition is: 🛛 Approved	□ Denied
Approved - T Replacement P	•	cement require	d per Cannon Bead	ch Municipal Code 17	′.70.040, Tree
□ Approved wi	th comme	nts:			

By: Date:

Decisions on the issuance of a tree removal permit may be appealed to the Planning Commission in accordance with Section 17.88.140 a, of the Municipal Code.



## **Treescapes Northwest** Jeff Gerhardt, Consulting Arborist



**City of Cannon Beach, Public Works Department** Attn: Trevor Mount; Assistant Public Works Director

mount@ci.cannon-beach.or.us

January 1, 2023

# Hazard Tree Inspection - RV Park


Per your request, I evaluated the hazard potential of 3 trees located at the Cannon Beach RV Park. After visually examining the trees and site, I recommend the three trees be removed as they are dead. Please find the included site map, photograph, and ISA Tree Hazard Evaluation Form for reference.

All three trees are Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii), and are approximately 22" in DBH and 45' tall. The trees are located within the City RV Park and a map is attached. All three trees are completely dead and should be removed as they pose a danger to park users. Consider replanting the sites with Western redcedars (*Thuja plicata*).

Best regards,

1 All

Jeff Gerhardt



### One of 3 dead fir trees in the RV Park



Treescapes Northwest P.O. Box 52 Manzanita, OR 97130 CCB# 236534 Cell: 503-453-5571 www.treescapesnorthwest.com

Site/Address: RV Park	HAZARD RATING:
Site/Address:	4 + 3 + 4 = 11
Map/Location: private unknown other	
Date: 12/23/2022 Inspector: Jeff Gerhardt	Immediate action needed
Date of last inspection:	
	Dead tree
IREE CHARACTERISTICS	
Free #: 3 Species: Douglas fir	
DBH: <u>22"</u> # of trunks: <u>1 per</u> Height: <u>45'</u> Spread: <u>20'</u>	
Form: 🛛 generally symmetric 🗌 minor asymmetry 🗌 major asymmetry 🗌 stump sp	rout 🗆 stag-headed
Crown class:  dominant  co-dominant  intermediate  suppressed	
Live crown ratio: _0 % Age class: 🗆 young 🖾 semi-mature 🗆 mature 🗆	
Pruning history: Crown cleaned Cexcessively thinned Copped Crown raised Copolla	
□ none □ multiple pruning events Approx. dates:	
Special Value: 🗋 specimen 🗋 heritage/historic 🗋 wildlife 🗋 unusual 🗋 street tree 🗋 scr	reen instade introgenous in protected by gov. agend
TREE HEALTH	Mm
	rowth obstructions:
	stakes wire/ties signs cables
	] curb/pavement
	] other
Vigor class: excellent average fair poor Major pests/diseases:	en e
major pests/diseases:	
SITE CONDITIONS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	natural woodland\forest
	hrub border 🛛 wind break
rrigation: 🗵 none 🗆 adequate 🗀 inadequate 🗔 excessive 🗔 trunk wettled	
$\sim$	□ line clearing □ site clearing
Recent site disturbance? Y(N) construction soil disturbance grade change	Pavement lifted? Y ( N )
Recent site disturbance? YN construction soil disturbance grade change % dripline paved: 0% 10-25% (25-50) 50-75% 75-100%	$\mathbf{O}$
Recent site disturbance?         Y (N)         □ construction         □ soil disturbance         □ grade change           % dripline paved:         0%         10-25%         25-50%         50-75%         75-100%           % dripline w/ fill soil:         0%         10-25%         25-50%         50-75%         75-100%	
Recent site disturbance?         Y         Construction         soil disturbance         grade change           % dripline paved:         0%         10-25%         25-50%         50-75%         75-100%           % dripline w/ fill soil:         0%         10-25%         25-50%         50-75%         75-100%           % dripline grade lowered:         0%         10-25%         25-50%         50-75%         75-100%	iic □ small volume □ disease center □ history of fail
Recent site disturbance?       Y N       □ construction       □ soil disturbance       □ grade change         % dripline paved:       0%       10-25%       (25-50%)       50-75%       75-100%         % dripline w/ fill soil:       0%       10-25%       25-50%       50-75%       75-100%         % dripline grade lowered:       0%       10-25%       25-50%       50-75%       75-100%         % dripline grade lowered:       0%       10-25%       25-50%       50-75%       75-100%         Soil problems:       □ drainage       □ shallow       ⊠ compacted       □ droughty       □ saline       □ akaline       □ acid	lic □ small volume □ disease center □ history of fail
Recent site disturbance?       Y       Construction       soil disturbance       grade change         % dripline paved:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         % dripline w/ fill soil:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         % dripline grade lowered:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         % dripline grade lowered:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         Soil problems:       Clainage       Shallow       © compacted       Cloughty       Saline       alkaline       acid         Clay       expansive       Islope       ° aspect:       °       aspect:	
Recent site disturbance?       Y N       □ construction       □ soil disturbance       □ grade change         % dripline paved:       0%       10-25%       (25-50%)       50-75%       75-100%         % dripline w/ fill soil:       0%       10-25%       25-50%       50-75%       75-100%         % dripline grade lowered:       0%       10-25%       25-50%       50-75%       75-100%         Soil problems:       □ drainage       □ shallow       ⊠ compacted       □ droughty       □ alkaline       □ acid         □ clay       □ expansive       □ slope      °       aspect:	round utilities 🗆 traffic 🔲 adjacent veg. 🗇
Recent site disturbance?       Y       Construction       soil disturbance       grade change         % dripline paved:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         % dripline w/ fill soil:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         % dripline grade lowered:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         Soil problems:       drainage       shallow       \$Compacted       droughty       saline       alkline       acid         clay       expansive       slope       °       aspect:	round utilities 🗆 traffic 🔲 adjacent veg. 🗇
Recent site disturbance?       Y       Construction       soil disturbance       grade change         % dripline paved:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         % dripline w/ fill soil:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         % dripline grade lowered:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         % dripline grade lowered:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         Soil problems:       Chainage       Shallow       © compacted       Choughty       Saline       alkaline       acid         Clay       expansive       Islope       °       aspect:	round utilities
Recent site disturbance?       Y       Construction       soil disturbance       grade change         % dripline paved:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         % dripline w/ fill soil:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         % dripline grade lowered:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         % dripline grade lowered:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       \$0-75%       75-100%         Soil problems:       Chainage       Shallow       © compacted       Choughty       saline       alkaline       acid         Clay       expansive       Islope       °       aspect:	round utilities 🗆 traffic 📄 adjacent veg. \Box ] windward, canopy edge 🔯 area prone to windthrow § seldom 🔲 regularly
Recent site disturbance?       Y       Construction       soil disturbance       grade change         % dripline paved:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       50-75%       75-100%         % dripline w/ fill soil:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       50-75%       75-100%         % dripline grade lowered:       0%       10-25%       \$25-50%       50-75%       75-100%         Soil problems:       drainage       shallow       Compacted       droughty       saline       alkaline       acid         clay       expansive       slope      o       aspect:	round utilities 🗆 traffic 📄 adjacent veg. \Box ] windward, canopy edge 🔯 area prone to windthrow § seldom 🔲 regularly

suspect root rot: Y (N) N	Aushroom/conk/bracket present	: Y N ID:		
	nce from trunk <b>Root area</b> al		-	an:
			0	en:
lestricted root area: 🗆 sev	ere 🗋 moderate 🖾 low	Potential for root failure:	severe moderate	low
EAN: 0 deg. from v	ertical 🗀 natural 🗌 unnatu	ral 🗆 self-corrected Sc	oil heaving: Y (N)	
ecay in plane of lean: Y (	N) Roots broken Y (N)	Soil cracking: YN		
		· •		n 🗆 madamta 🛛 🕅 Jaw
	esence of individual defects and		-	
DEFECT	ROOT CROWN	TRUNK	SCAFFOLDS	BRANCHES
Poor taper				
Bow, sweep				
Codominants/forks		* ••2		
Multiple attachments		4		
Included bark				
Excessive end weight Cracks/splits				
Hangers				
Girdling				
Wounds/seam				118 F.1. 1 1. 1
Decay				
Cavity	· · · · · ·		· · · ·	
Conks/mushrooms/bracket				
Bleeding/sap flow Loose/cracked bark				-
Nesting hole/bee hive				
Deadwood/stubs				1. a
Borers/termites/ants				
Cankers/galis/buris				
Previous failure				
AZARD RATING		;		
ee part most likely to fail: To	os or entire tree			- medium; 3 - high; 4 - severe
spection period:	annual biannual	other	Size of part: 1 - <6" (15 cm	); 2 - 6-18" (15-45 cm);
	Target Rating = Hazard Rating			75 cm); 4 - >30" (75 cm)
	<u>4</u> = <u>11</u>		Target rating: 1 - occasiona	
			3 - trequent u	ise; 4 - constant use
AZARD ABATEMEN			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
rune: 🗌 remove defective	part 🛛 reduce end weight 🗋	crown clean 🗆 thin 🗆 rais	e canopy 🗌 crown reduce 🛛	🗆 restructure 🛛 shape
able/Brace:		in:	spect further: root crown	decav 🗋 aerial 🗌 monitr
~	lace? (Y) N Move target:	•	•	
ffect on adjacent trees:	•		a de trans per	• • • • • • • • • • • •
•		10/0000		
	nanager 🛛 governing agency	Date: 12/2023		
OMMENTS				
See report				
See report				
See report				

Treescapes Northwest P.O. Box 52 Manzanita, OR 97130